## Approved For Release 2000/09/14 : CIA-RDP88-00374R000100260038-1

l December 1954

#### COPY

## MEMO FOR THE RECORD OF THE CLARK COMMITTEE

#### OCI (FE/P Branch) 0900-1100

Colonel Miller asked the following leading questions:

"Do you gauge the success of your mission by the quality or quantity of material you publish?"

"Is your value to the intelligence community predicated on how well you compete with the press?"

"How often do you beat the press on a current item of import?"

It was pointed out to Colonel Miller that selectivity of important items and on interpretation of such items was the principal mission of the division, and not pure justification based on sheer weight of production in the Current Intelligence Digest.

Colonel Miller reiterated his concern over the fact that OCI's publications are the product of their own opinions, without reference to or coordination with the IAC, due to the time factor involved.

McGruder raised the point that the OCI Digest carried a preponderance of political material, which, in his estimation, was a State Department responsibility.

Foley raised the point that other IAC members had their own same type of reporting and analysis procedure as CIA; hence, he asked, since each has its experts on areas and topics, is there a coordination of working level interpretations to come up with a unanimous opinion, or does CIA depend on its own expert opinion as the last word?

Miller, McGruder and Foley were all of the opinion that the DCI should rely on the various daily digests produced by other IAC members for coverage of their own interests (i.e., the ONI Digest for Naval Information) instead of depending upon a compilation of OCI's digest of hand selected items.

Foley raised the point of possible rotation among the intelligence community of analysts, in order to obtain a better, overall appreciation of functions and responsibilities.

Approved For Release 2000/09/14 : CIA-RDP88-00374R000100260038-1

## Approved For Release 2000 11 CIA-RDP88-00374R000100260038-1

### 1100-1230 (Secretariat)

Colonel Miller was mainly impressed with the expense of printing such special reports as "The Watchpot". However, he was really floored with the responsibilities of the Watch Office. So much so that he talked for almost an hour in the Western Hemisphere Division (a carry-over from his pre-lunch period) on "What does the Watch Officer watch?". He seemed to feel that out of the mass of watch items the Watch Officer could never concentrate on a subject really worthy of watching!

## 1330-1545 (Western Hemisphere)

Here the questioning followed a similar pattern to the morning, with very little deviation (i.e., division of responsibility in reporting; qualification of information reported).

Colonel Miller was interested in the fact that in addition to the card file of published material, each branch kept a file of its own contributions. He asked branch personnel whether they had area knowledge and how recently they had been overseas.

25X1A

LD du

cc:
Inspector General

l December 1954

### $\mathtt{COPY}$

## MEMO FOR THE RECORD OF THE CLARK COMMITTEE

OCI (FE/P Branch) 0900-1100

Colonel Miller asked the following leading questions:

'Do you gauge the success of your mission by the quality or quantity of material you publish?"

"Is your value to the intelligence community predicated on how well you compete with the press?"

"How often do you beat the press on a current item of import?"

It was pointed out to Colonel Miller that selectivity of important items and on interpretation of such items was the principal mission of the division, and not pure justification based on sheer weight of production in the Current Intelligence Digest.

Colonel Miller reiterated his concern over the fact that OCI's publications are the product of their own opinions, without reference to or coordination with the IAC, due to the time factor involved.

McGruder raised the point that the OCI Digest carried a preponderance of political material, which, in his estimation, was a State Department responsibility.

Foley raised the point that other IAC members had their own same type of reporting and analysis procedure as CIA; hence, he asked, since each has its experts on areas and topics, is there a coordination of working level interpretations to come up with a unanimous opinion, or does CIA depend on its own expert opinion as the last word?

Miller, McGruder and Foley were all of the opinion that the DCI should rely on the various daily digests produced by other IAC members for coverage of their own interests (i.e., the ONI Digest for Naval Information) instead of depending upon a compilation of OCI's digest of hand selected items.

Foley raised the point of possible rotation among the intelligence community of analysts, in order to obtain a better, overall appreciation of functions and responsibilities.

document has been

010159

# Approved For Release 2000/09/14: CIA-RDP88-00374R000100260038-1

#### 1100-1230 (Secretariat)

Colonel Miller was mainly impressed with the expense of printing such special reports as "The Watchpot". However, he was really floored with the responsibilities of the Watch Office. So much so that he talked for almost an hour in the Western Hemisphere Division (a carry-over from his pre-lunch period) on "What does the Watch Officer watch?". He seemed to feel that out of the mass of watch items the Watch Officer could never concentrate on a subject really worthy of watching!

#### 1330-1545 (Western Hemisphere)

Here the questioning followed a similar pattern to the morning, with very little deviation (i.e., division of responsibility in reporting; qualification of information reported).

Colonel Miller was interested in the fact that in addition to the card file of published material, each branch kept a file of its own contributions. He asked branch personnel whether they had area knowledge and how recently they had been overseas.

cc:
Inspector General

10 Sept. 18

COPY FALS DATA